Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/808,730	COTTON ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Naeem Haq	3625						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):								
1) <u>Naeem Haq - Primary Examiner</u> . (3) <u>Sumeet Magoon</u> .								
(2) <u>Dwight Thompson - Attorney of Record.</u>	ght Thompson - Attorney of Record. (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>15 January 2008</u> .								
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]								
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:								
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .								
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bezos, Walker, and Wilmes</u> .								
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.								
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney clarified the invention by stating that the term "flash title" means "ownership" as described in paragraph [0043] of the specification. The Attorney also stated that the ownership changes when the transaction occurs ([0043]). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims								
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)								
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								
	NAEEM HAQ PRIMARY EXAMINER							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if required							

Attorney's Docket No.:

12587-059001

Client's Ref. No.: 01553-00/US

PTOL-413A (10-07)
Approved for use through 10/31/2007. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: 10/80%, 730 First Named Applicant: Catton Examiner: Name Application: Fonding Art Unit: 3625 Status of Application: Fonding						
Tentative Participants: (1) Dulght Thompson (2) Sumeet Magoon						
(3)						
Proposed Date of Interview: Proposed Time: (AM/PM)						
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference						
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [/] NO If yes, provide brief description:						
Issues To Be Discussed						
Issues (Rcj., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1)		Art	[]	, []	[]	
(2)			[]	[]	[]	
(3)			[]	[]	[]	
(4)	et Attached	·····	[]	[] h	[]	
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: See Attached Sheet						
•		-		-		
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \\\ \sqrt{15\omega} \text{08\omega} \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\						
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b))						
as soon as possible.						
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature						
/BY						
Typed/Printed DWifeHbT 不声的AFFE的风 epresentative REG. NO. 53,688						
	Number, if applie	cable				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a bunefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This cultertion is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FERS OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Applicant: Mark F. Cotton et al.

Art Unit : 3625

Serial No.: 10/808/730

Examiner: Nacem Haq

Filed : March 25, 2004

Conf. No.:

Title

: MULTI-VENDOR ONLINE MARKETPLACE

PROPOSED AGENDA

Dear Examiner Haq,

I look forward to meet with you next week. In the meeting, I intend to discuss how the applied art is not seen to disclose or suggest all the features of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/808,730. In particular, I would like to discuss how the applied art does not disclose or suggest all of the features of the proposed claim below.

I also look forward to your hearing your view of the claim and any discussion to generally advance the prosecution of the case.

I look forward to speaking with you in person next week.

Best regards,

Dwight U. Thompson

Reg. No. 53,688

dut@fr.com 202-626-7725